Mr. S. R. Balakrishna Rao.—Reasons for regrouping should also be notified.

Dewan.—Government are prepared to do that. The maximum and the minimum rates, the average, any change in the grouping and classification and reasons for the change, all these will be published in the taluk office and suggestions invited.

Mr. Ramanuja Iyengar.—We want a Committee for regrouping, etc.

Reply of Government.—This is not possible. The Government Order now under consideration will issue in a week or ten days.

SUBJECT NO. 45.

Hikkals, foot-paths, and waste water channels to be marked on village survey maps.

Messrs. H. Krishnasastri, Venkatasubbiah, Sambugowda, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastri.—There is great need for this, for sometimes, one or two raiyats join together and block a road to the inconvenience of all the neighbours. They say that the roads are not public roads or that they cannot be seen on the village survey maps and raise all kinds of discussions. It is possible a case may be made out in the criminal court and even in the civil court, but it is all a long process. The revenue authorities of the place cannot settle such disputes if the survey maps do not indicate the hikkals and paths. My suggestion therefore is that all hikkals and foot-paths and wasteweir channels should, as a rule, be shown in village survey maps.

Dewan.—If found necessary, Government will agree to do it.

Revenue Secretary.—Orders have been passed on former representations on this subject, that all hallas, cart-tracks, channels, foot-paths should be shown on the village maps wherever this is possible.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastri.—"Wherever this is possible" is no good. It must be done in every case. This was done in the case of all the land in and about the Krishnarajasagara Dam. In the beginning many new maps were revised and many small points are shown in the final revised map. Such being the case, cannot hikkals, etc., be shown in the survey map at the time of the resurvey? Only greater care must be exercised in the preparation of the map. The opinion of all the people in a locality may be taken before the marking is made and the Revenue officers of the place consulted.

Superintendent, Survey Department.—At this rate, one re-settlement will take twenty years.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastri.—There can be no such delay.

Revenue Commissioner.—The map is not prepared at the time of the re-settlement. The survey map will have been prepared previously, and at the time of the

settlement ; only the question of the kandayam will be settled.

Mr. H. Krishna Sastri.—That is what I say. No new map need be prepared. The old map may be taken and all possible and necessary additions or alterations made in it.

Dewan.—Existing orders of Government will be made more specific. As re-settlement work goes on taluk by taluk difficulties may be brought to the notice of the Survey officers and Government.

Mr. Narasimhachar of T.-Narasipur.—The maps do not show where channels end and sometimes the raiyats block these streams and turn them on to their own lands and cause great damage to other lands. Even the smallest streams should be shown on the map.

Revenue Commissioner.—The Survey Department mark the channels that are useful to the public. They cannot mark all the petty streams simply because there is some dispute on account of this among the raiyats.

Reply of Government.—Government agree that there is hardship. The existing order will be modified so that, in all cases of general agreement, channels should be marked and if there is any dispute the Survey and Settlement Officer will refer the matter to the Amildar. If there are any private disputes, Government will acquire the land in question.

SUBJECT No. 46.

Sale of shanbhogi service inam lands for arrears of revenue.

Mr. N. Rama Rao, Kolar District Board.

Summary of discussion.—Only the portion of the land in respect of which kandayam is not paid may be brought to sale instead of the entire inam as at present.

The Revenue Commissioner explained the existing rules to the members.

The Dewan said that it was not possible to grant the request.

PROTECTION.

LEGISLATION.

(Nos. 47 to 58.)

SUBJECT No. 47.

Election to the Legislative Council.

Messrs. C. Chennakesaviah, Chitaldrug Taluk. R. Sreenivasa Rao Chitaldrug Municipality, H. Krishna Sastri, Sambhugowda, Venkatasubbaiya, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

The members represented that this proposal had come before the Representative Assembly several times before, that it is necessary to have members from each District on the Legislative Council, that Tumkur and Kadur have not had a member in the Council, so far and that Kolar has had a member only for the last one year ; that instead of the present system of election of a member from groups of two districts, each district may be given the privilege of electing a member to represent it in the Legislative